arXiv:quant-ph/0409087v1 15 Sep 2004

Bell inequalities and linear entropy. Comment on the paper of E. Santos : "Entropy inequalities and Bell inequalities for two-qubit systems"

L. Jakóbczyk

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Pl. M. Borna 9, 50-204 Wrocław, Poland

It is shown that even if the linear entropy of mixed two-qubit state is not smaller then 0.457, Bell - CHSH inequalities can be violated. This contradics the result obtained in the paper of E. Santos [1].

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.65.Ud
Keywords: Bell inequalities, mixed states

As is well known, the relation between violation of Bell inequalities and entanglement properties of quantum states is not clear. Although all pure entangled states violate Bell inequalities, for mixed states entanglement is not equivalent to such violation. One has to consider also the mixedness of quantum state ρ, measured for example by their linear entropy

$$S_{12}(\rho) = 1 - \operatorname{tr}\rho^2 \tag{1}$$

In Ref. [1], the author claims that in a two-qubit system, states ρ for which

$$S_{12}(\rho) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{4} \simeq 0.457 \tag{2}$$

satisfy all Bell - CHSH inequalities. This is however inconsistent with the results obtained in [2]. In that paper we have investigated the relationship between entanglement measured by concurrence C, mixedness measured by normalized linear entropy $S_L = \frac{4}{3}S_{12}$ and CHSH violation, for a class of mixed two-qubit states. One of our results shows that for suitable values of S_L and C, we can find states ρ_1 and ρ_2 with equal linear entropies and entanglement, such that ρ_1 violates CHSH inequalities whereas ρ_2 fulfills these inequalities. It appears that condition (2) still admits the existence of such states. Consider for example the states

$$\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.549027 & 0.125 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.125 & 0.449798 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.001175 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.632864 & 0.125 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.125 & 0.317431 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.049708 \end{pmatrix}$$

By a direct calculation, one can check that $S_{12}(\rho_1) = S_{12}(\rho_2) = 0.465$, so inequality (2) is satisfied, but

$$\max_B |\operatorname{tr}(\rho_1 B)| = 2.05699 \tag{3}$$

and

$$\max_B |\operatorname{tr}(\rho_2 B)| = 1.86929 \tag{4}$$

Here

$$B = \boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\otimes(\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{b}')\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{a}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\otimes(\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{b}')\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

with $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{a}'$, $\boldsymbol{b}$, $\boldsymbol{b}'$ unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$. To obtain equalities (3) and (4), one can use the important result of Ref. [3]:

$$\max_B |\operatorname{tr}(\rho B)| = 2\sqrt{m(\rho)}$$

where $m(\rho) = \max_{j<k}(u_j + u_k)$, u_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $T_\rho^T T_\rho$, $T_\rho = (t_{nm})$, $t_{nm} = \operatorname{tr}(\rho\,\sigma_n \otimes \sigma_m)$.

We see that ρ_1 violates CHSH inequalities and ρ_2 fulfills these inequalities, despite of the fact that both of them satisfy inequality (2). Actualy, we are able to construct one parameter family of states satisfying (2) and violating CHSH inequalities.

So we come to a conclusion that the result stated in Theorem 1 of [1], cannot be true.

[1] E. Santos, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 022305(2004).
[2] Ł. Derkacz, L. Jakóbczyk, Phys. Lett. A **328**, 26(2004).
[3] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **200**, 340(1995).